Document 70-6

Filed 01/09/2006 Page 1 of 3

CONDENSED TRANSCRIPT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

KENNETH FLEMING and JOHN DOE,: No. C04-2338 RSM

(Judge Ricardo Martinez)

Plaintiffs,:

THE CORPORATION OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS, a Utah corporation sole, a/k/a "MORMON CHURCH"; : LDS SOCIAL SERVICES a/k/a LDS FAMILY SERVICES, a Utah : Videotaped Deposition of: corporation,

RANDALL BORLAND

Defendants.:

September 20, 2005 - 9:08 a.m.

Location: Kirton & McConkie 60 East South Temple, Suite 1800 Salt Lake City, Utah

Diane W. Flanagan, RPR Notary Public in and for the State of Utah



36 South State Street • Suite 1220 • Salt Lake City, UT 84111 • 801.538.2333 • Fax 801.538.2334

FLEMING v. THE CORPORATION OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS
September 20, 2005
RANDALL BORLAND

MR. KOSNOFF: Could you identify the source of 1 1 A Okay. 2 2 Q So again my question is: Was this something that that privilege. you learned in connection with pastoral counseling within 3 MR. FREY: State v. Martin and the statute, the 3 4 4 the Church? First Amendment. 5 5 A Yes. MR. KOSNOFF: So are you relying on the clergy 6 б Q Was the information that you received something penitent privilege? 7 7 that you are required to keep confidential under the MR. FREY: And his First Amendment rights. 8 MR. KOSNOFF: What First Amendment rights are you 8 doctrines and teachings of your church? 9 9 A Yes. referring to? 10 10 Q Was the communication that you received something MR. FREY: Free exercise rights. 11 MR. KOSNOFF: Specifically what in the free that you in fact kept confidential, that is, that you did 11 12 not disclose to any other person? 12 exercise clause are you basing this privilege? 13 13 A Let me make sure I understand that. A MR. FREY: That he has the right to free exercise 14 of religion to be free from the restraint of having a civil 14 communication not disclosed to anybody else? 15 15 court interfere and make him disclose confidential O Correct. 16 communications. We've been through this. We've briefed it. 16 A The answer to that question, if I've heard the 17 We've already argued it in the Court of Appeals and won it. question correct, is yes or -- let me rephrase it, and then 17 18 tell you what I thought you said. MR. KOSNOFF: No. We've --18 19 MR. FREY: And that's what I'm doing here. 19 O Go ahead. MR. KOSNOFF: No. That was a completely different 20 A I did not disclose what was said confidentially to 20 21 issue and very different narrow issue than --21 me to others. 22 MR. FREY: And there's a third item involved here 22 Q Just so that I'm clear on this, you did not 23 disclose the content of what was said to you by that person 23 that we haven't gotten to yet, but that is the privacy rights of individuals who may be involved, if any. But I'm to any other person? trying not to interfere with your legitimate discovery area, 25 A The content of that conversation, that meeting, I 59 1 did not. and so I'm trying to be very careful here. And I want you 2 to understand that it's not my purpose here to frustrate O Okav. 3 your discovery, but I do want him to be careful that he 3 The best of my recollection. doesn't breach any of the confidentiality that is imposed 4 Okay. Did you ever make a referral to LDS Social Services for counseling Jack Loholt? 5 upon him by his position as a clergyman. 6 A I don't remember. I do not remember that. 6 So if you can read back the question. Sorry about 7 7 Did you do anything or say anything to anyone else the speech --8 MR. KOSNOFF: Well, I -- we have gone through the 8 following the communication that you received from this 9 criteria for the appropriate assertion of the clergy person? penitent privilege, and that shields him from disclosing the 10 A Regarding specifics? 11 Anything. content of privileged communications based upon that Q 12 12 statute. My questions now are not directed at the content Α Yes. 13 of that communication. My questions are directed at what he 13 Q What did you do or say? 14 did or said to others following that communication. 14 A I talked --15 15 MR. FREY: Again I'm going to caution you that if MR. FREY: But that may very well involve his working in an ecclesiastical capacity and involve 16 you took any steps in your capacity as a clergyman and ecclesiastical in accordance with the teachings and beliefs 17 conversations with other people that are privileged, and 17 18 of the LDS religion that you are not obligated to break that that's my point. 19 MR. KOSNOFF: That -- it's our position that that 19 confidentiality if in fact you learned that in those 20 would not be privileged and that he is required to answer 20 circumstances. 21 21 And for the record, Counsel, what I'm trying to do those questions. 22 MR. FREY: Just a second. 22 here is allow you to ask questions without reaching what I 23 (Defense counsel confer) believe is a privilege that he has as a bishop to receive 24 MR. FREY: I - I've made my objection. We information, treat it with confidentiality, and act on it in 25 disagree. Okay? an ecclesiastical fashion.

60

58

FLEMING V. THE CORPORATION OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST RANDALL BORLAND September 20, 2005

12

69

A I would say that's possibly.

Q. Would that include communicating it to the mother

of the child that made the complaint? 3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

A If it evolved to that point.

Q If -- I'm sorry. If what evolved?

Well, this is all an assumption. Everything is an assumption. Did you say that a mother came in and said that a child, not her child? Am I right?

Q Just a woman in the ward, a ward worker, a 9 volunteer hypothetically in the Primary, receives this 10 report from a child and she conveys it to you. 11

A And so then I would --

12 Q And my question is: Would you find it appropriate 13 14 to convey this confidential information to the mother of 15 that child?

16

A I would have to have more groundwork on it before 17° I did that. I would probably -- if I felt that there was a substantive basis for it, I would talk to the mother.

18 Q If you felt that there was a substantive basis for 19 20 the accusation?

A But there's footnote to that. The person that 21 came to me, if they came with the idea that, Bishop, I want 22 to talk with you, this is in confidence, that particular individual's name, circumstance, involvement would not --

that would be held confidential.

go ahead and disclose any type of information that you received in your position as a clergy member.

For the record, I want to make this clear, 3 Counsel. I'm quoting from State v. Martin so we'll know exactly what we're talking about. And they there say that 5 rather than the statute -- "Rather, the statute only 6 requires the clergy member receiving the confidential communication be enjoined by the practices or rules of the 8 clergy member's religion to receive the confidential 9 communication and to provide spiritual counseling." That's 10 what I'm trying to protect him with. 11

MR. KOSNOFF: That's one of the elements.

MR. FREY: Okay. Well --13

MR. KOSNOFF: They didn't throw out the elements 14 of the statute, and I'm very well-acquainted with State v. 15 16 Martin.

Q (By MR. KOSNOFF) Let's get back to -- let's get 17 back to Mr. Loholt. You testified earlier that you spoke 18 with Jack Loholt. Are you asserting the privilege with respect to your communication with Jack Loholt regarding any allegations of child sexual abuse? 21

A As being confidential? 22

23 0 Yes.

24 A Yes.

Did you speak with anybody else other than Jack 25

71

Q Would you communicate this information to the police? 2

A Not necessarily, no.

Would you communicate it to the local Child Protective Services agency?

A No.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

G

11

14

15

16

17

18

Q Would you attempt to substantiate the accusation yourself?

A I suspect I would, uh-huh (affirmative).

10

A It would depend on the circumstance. It would depend on the people. It would depend on the emotions. It 12 13 would depend on a gamut of things, a wide range of things that would all focus in on is this legitimate, is this real, or is it just an accusation, is it somebody that's upset with somebody and angry, whatever it might be.

Q Have you ever actually had to conduct such an investigation?

A I'm sorry?

19 Q Have you ever actually been presented with a 20 scenario like this?

A I'm going to have a difficulty answering that 22 question --23

MR. FREY: Again, I'm going to instruct you if 24 25 that's going to cause you -- or require you, pardon me, to

Loholt regarding information that he may have engaged in sexual misconduct with a child? 2

A No.

6

7

8

9

10

13

15

20

3 So anything that was said between Jack Loholt and 4 yourself was kept strictly to yourself. Is that correct?

A I can't speak for Jack Loholt. It was kept within me.

Q Was that information shared with anyone else on the bishopric, such as your first or second counselor?

A What information?

Q Any information that Jack Loholt may have engaged 11 in sexual misconduct with a child. 12

MR. FREY: Again, these communications between you and your counselors are also privileged, but go ahead. 14

A So the answer -- I guess my answer would be -- is my discussion with my counselors would be confidential. I don't -- I don't remember discussing that with anybody.

17 Q So you have no recollection of discussing with 18 19 anybody else?

A I have no recollection of that.

21 O Okay.

A That's correct. I do not.

22 Q Did you remove Jack Loholt from any positions 23 24 working with youth in the ward while you were bishop?

A Did I remove him?

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

72

25

70